

CERTAIN REPRESENTATIONS ON SOFT M-N MODULO THEORY

R.Nagarajan¹, A.Prabakaran²

1.Professor, Department of Science and Humanities, J.J.College of Engineering and Technology (Sowdambikaa Group of Institutions), Tiruchirappalli-620009, Tamilnadu, India..E-Mail: rajnagarajan1970@gmail.com

2.Associate Professor, Department of Science and Humanities, J.J.College of Engineering and Technology (Sowdambikaa Group of Institutions), Tiruchirappalli-620009, Tamilnadu, India..E-Mail: drpraba@gmail.com.

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we define a new concept, called soft intersection action (SI) on M- N module structures on a soft set. This new notions gathers soft set theory and near-ring modulo theory together and it shows how a soft set effects on M- N module structure in the mean of union and inclusion of sets. We then obtain its basic properties with illustrative examples and derive some analog of classical M-N module theoretic concepts for SI-action on M-N-module. Finally , we give the application of SI-actions on M-N module theory.

KEYWORDS:

Soft set, near-ring, M-N module SI-action, M-N-ideal SI-action, α -inclusion, pre-image, soft anti- image.

. **AMS mathematics subject classification:** 03E70, 58E40,

Copyright © 2023 International Journals of Multidisciplinary Research Academy. All rights reserved.

Introduction: Soft set theory was introduced in 1999 by Molodtsov [22] for dealing with uncertainties and it has gone through remarkably rapid strides in the mean of algebraic structures as in [1, 2, 11, 14, 15, 16, 18, 25, 28]. Moreover, Atagun and Sezgin [4] defined the concepts of soft subrings and ideals of a ring, soft subfields of a field and soft submodules of a module and studied their related properties with respect to soft set operations. Operations of soft sets have been studied by some authors, too. Maji et al. [19] presented some definitions on soft sets and based on the analysis of several operations on soft sets Ali et al. [3] introduced several operations of soft sets and Sezgin and Atagun [26] studied on soft set operations as well. Furthermore, soft set relations and functions [5] and soft mappings [21] with many related concepts were discussed. The theory of soft set has also a wide-ranging applications especially in soft decision making as in the following studies: [6, 7, 23, 29]. In this paper, we define a new concept, called soft intersection action (SI) on M-N module structures on a fuzzy soft set. This new notions gathers fuzzy theory, soft set theory and near-ring modulo theory together and it shows how a soft set effects on M-N module structure in the mean of union and inclusion of sets. We then obtain its basic properties with illustrative examples and derive some analog of classical M-N module theoretic concepts for SI-action on M-N module. Finally, we give the application of SI-actions on M-N module

2.Preliminaries: In this section, we recall some basic notions relevant to near-ring modules. By a near-ring, we shall mean an algebraic system $(N, +, \cdot)$,

where

(N₁) (N, +) forms a group (not necessarily abelian)

(N₂) (N, .) forms a semi group and

(N₃) (x + y)z = xz + yz for all x,y,z ∈ N. (that is we study on right near-ring modules)

Throughout this paper, N will always denote right near-ring. A normal subgroup H of N is called a left ideal of N if n(s+h)-ns ∈ H for all n,s ∈ N and h ∈ I and denoted by H◁_ℓN. For a near-ring N, the zero-symmetric part of N denoted by N₀ is defined by N₀ = {n ∈ S / n0=0}.

Let (S,+) be a group and A: N × S → S, (n,s) → s.

(S,A) is called N module or near-ring module if for all x,y ∈ N, for all s ∈ S.

(i) x(ys) = (xy)s

(ii) (x+y)s = xs+ys. It is denoted by N^S. Clearly N itself is an N module by natural operations. A subgroup T of N^S with NT ⊆ T is said to be N-sub module of S and denoted by

T ≤_N S. A normal subgroup T of S is called an N-ideal of N^S and denoted by a near-ring, S and χ two N-modules. Then h: S → χ is called an M-N-homomorphism if s, δ ∈ S, for all n ∈ N,

(i) h(m(s+δ)) = h(s)+h(δ) and

(ii) h(ns) = nh(s).

For all undefined concepts and notions we refer to (24). From now on, U refers to on initial universe, E is a set of parameters P(U) is the power set of U and A,B,C ⊆ E.

2.1. Definition[22]: A pair (F,A) is called a soft set over U, where F is a mapping given by $F : A \rightarrow P(U)$.

2.2. Definition[6]: The relative complement of the soft set F_A over U is denoted by F^r_A, where

F^r_A: A → P(U) is a mapping given as F^r_A(a) = U \ F_A(a), for all a ∈ A.

2.3. Definition[6]: Let F_A and G_B be two soft sets over U such that A ∩ B ≠ ∅. The restricted intersection of F_A and G_B is denoted by F_A ∩_R G_B, and is defined as F_A ∩_R G_B = (H,C), where C = A ∩ B and for all c ∈ C, H(c) = F(c) ∩ G(c).

2.4. Definition[6]: Let F_A and G_B be two soft sets over U such that A ∩ B ≠ ∅. The restricted union of F_A and G_B is denoted by F_A ∪_R G_B, and is defined as F_A ∪_R G_B = (H,C), where C = A ∩ B and for all c ∈ C, H(c) = F(c) ∪ G(c).

2.5. Definition[12]: Let F_A and G_B be soft sets over the common universe U and ψ be a function from A to B. Then we can define the soft set ψ(F_A) over U, where ψ(F_A) : B → P(U) is a set valued function defined by ψ(F_A)(b) = ∪ {F(a) | a ∈ A and ψ(a) = b},

if ψ⁻¹(b) ≠ ∅, = 0 otherwise for all b ∈ B. Here, ψ(F_A) is called the soft image of F_A under ψ. Moreover we can define a soft set ψ⁻¹(G_B) over U, where ψ⁻¹(G_B) : A → P(U) is a set-valued function defined by ψ⁻¹(G_B)(a) = G(ψ(a)) for all a ∈ A. Then, ψ⁻¹(G_B) is called the soft pre image (or inverse image) of G_B under ψ.

2.6. Definition[13]: Let F_A and G_B be soft sets over the common universe U and ψ be a function from A to B. Then we can define the soft set ψ*(F_A) over U, where ψ*(F_A) : B → P(U) is a set-valued function defined by ψ*(F_A)(b) = ∩ {F(a) | a ∈ A and ψ(a) = b}, if ψ⁻¹(b) ≠ ∅, = 0 otherwise for all b ∈ B. Here, ψ*(F_A) is called the soft anti image of F_A under ψ.

2.7 Definition [8]: Let f_A be a soft set over U and α be a subset of U . Then, upper α -inclusion of a soft set f_A , denoted by $f^\alpha A$, is defined as $f^\alpha A = \{x \in A : f_A(x) \supseteq \alpha\}$

3.SI-action on M-N module structures and M-N-ideal structures

In this section, we first define fuzzy soft union action, abbreviated as SI-action on M-N module and M-N-ideal structures with illustrative examples. We then study their basic results with respect to soft set operation.

3.1 Definition: Let S be an M-N module and f_s be a soft set over U . Then f_s is called SI-action on M-N module over U if it satisfies the following conditions;

(S₁M-1) $f_s(m(x+y)) \supseteq f_s(x) \cap f_s(y)$

(S₁M-2) $f_s(-x) \supseteq f_s(x)$

(S₁M-3) $f_s(nx) \supseteq f_s(x)$

For all $x, y \in S$ and $n \in \mathbb{N} \ m \in M$.

3.2 Example: Consider the module $M-N = \{0, x, y, z\}$, be the near-ring under the operation defined by the following table:

+	0	x	y	z
0	0	x	y	z
x	x	0	z	y
y	y	z	0	x
z	z	y	x	0

.	0	x	y	z
0	0	0	0	0
x	x	x	x	x
y	0	0	0	0
z	x	x	x	x

Let $S=M-N$ and S be the set of parameters

and $U = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & a \\ 0 & a \end{bmatrix} / a, b \in Z_6 \right\}$, 2×2 matrices with Z_6 terms, is the universal set .we construct a fuzzy soft set.

$f_s(0) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 3 \\ 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$, $f_s(x) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 3 \\ 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$,

$f_s(y) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$, and $f_s(z) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$

Then one can easily show that the soft set f_s is a SI-action on M-N module.

3.3 Proposition: Let f_s be a SI-action on M-N module over U . Then, $f_s(0) \supseteq f_s(x)$

for all $x \in S$.

Proof: Assume that f_s is SI-action over U . Then, for all $x \in S$,

$f_s(m0) = f_s(m(x-x)) \supseteq f_s(x) \cap f_s(-x) = f_s(x) \cap f_s(x) = f_s(x)$.

3.4 Theorem: Let S be a SI-action on M-N module and f_s be a soft set over U .

Then f_s is SI-action of M-N module over U if and only if

(i) $f_s(m(x-y)) \supseteq f_s(x) \cap f_s(y)$

(ii) $f_s(nx) \supseteq f_s(x)$ for all $x, y \in S$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof: Suppose f_s is a fuzzy SI-action on M-N module over U. Then, by definition-3.1,

$$f_s(xy) \supseteq f_s(y) \text{ and } f_s(m(x-y)) \supseteq f_s(x) \cap f_s(-y) = f_s(x) \cap f_s(y) \text{ for all } x, y \in S$$

Conversely, assume that $f_s(xy) \supseteq f_s(y)$ and $f_s(m(x-y)) \supseteq f_s(x) \cap f_s(y)$ for all $x, y \in S$.

If we choose $x=0$, then $f_s(0-y) = f_s(-y) \supseteq f_s(0) \cap f_s(y) = f_s(y)$ by proposition-3.1. Similarly $f_s(ny) = f_s(-(-y)) \supseteq f_s(-y)$, thus $f_s(-y) = f_s(y)$ for all $y \in S$. Also, by assumption $f_s(m(x-y)) \supseteq f_s(x) \cap f_s(-y) = f_s(x) \cap f_s(y)$. This complete the proof.

3.5 Theorem: Let f_s be a SI-action on M-N module over U.

$$(i) \text{ If } f_s(m(x-y)) = f_s(0) \text{ for any } x, y \in S, \text{ then } f_s(x) = f_s(y).$$

$$(ii) f_s(m(x-y)) = f_s(0) \text{ for any } x, y \in S, \text{ then } f_s(x) = f_s(y).$$

Proof: Assume that $f_s(x-y) = f_s(0)$ for any $x, y \in S$, then

$$\begin{aligned} f_s(mx) &= f_s(m(x-y+y)) \supseteq f_s(x-y) \cap f_s(y) \\ &= f_s(0) \cap f_s(y) = f_s(y) \end{aligned}$$

and similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} f_s(ny) &= f_s(n(y-x)+x) \supseteq f_s(y-x) \cap f_s(x) \\ &= f_s(-(y-x)) \cap f_s(x) \\ &= f_s(0) \cap f_s(x) = f_s(x) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $f_s(x) = f_s(y)$ which completes the proof. Similarly, we can show the result (ii).

It is known that if S is M-N module, then (S, +) is a group but not necessarily abelian. That is, for any $x, y \in S$, $x + y$ needs not be equal to $y + x$. However, we have the following:

3.6 Theorem : Let f_s be SI-action on M-N module over U and $x \in S$. Then,

$$f_s(x) = f_s(0) \Leftrightarrow f_s(x+y) = f_s(y+x) = f_s(y) \text{ for all } y \in S.$$

Proof: Suppose that $f_s(x+y) = f_s(y+x) = f_s(y)$ for all $y \in S$. Then, by choosing $y=0$, we obtain that $f_s(x) = f_s(0)$.

Conversely, assume that $f_s(x) = f_s(0)$. Then by proposition-3.1, we have

$$f_s(0) = f_s(x) \supseteq f_s(y), \forall y \in S. \dots\dots\dots (1)$$

Since f_s SI-action on M-N module over U, then

$$\begin{aligned} f_s(m(x+y)) &\supseteq f_s(x) \cap f_s(y) = f_s(y), \forall y \in S. \text{ Moreover, for all } y \in S \\ f_s(ny) &= f_s(n(-x)+x+y) = f_s(n(-x+(x+y))) \supseteq f_s(-x) \cap f_s(x+y) \\ &= f_s(x) \cap f_s(x+y) = f_s(x+y) \end{aligned}$$

Since by equation (1), $f_s(x) \supseteq f_s(y)$ for all $y \in S$ and $x, y \in S$, implies that $x + y \in S$. Thus, it follows that $f_s(x) \supseteq f_s(x+y)$. So $f_s(x+y) = f_s(y)$ for all $y \in S$.

Now, let $x \in S$. Then, for all $x, y \in S$

$$\begin{aligned} f_s(m(y+x)) &= f_s(m(y+x+(y-y))) \\ &= f_s(m(y+(x+y)-y)) \\ &\supseteq f_s(y) \cap f_s(x+y) \cap f_s(y) \\ &= f_s(y) \cap f_s(x+y) = f_s(y) \end{aligned}$$

Since $f_s(x+y) = f_s(y)$. Furthermore, for all $y \in S$

$$\begin{aligned}
f_s(ny) &= f_s(n(y+(x-x))) \\
&= f_s((y+x)-x) \\
&\supseteq f_s(y+x) \cap f_s(x) \\
&= f_s(y+x) \text{ by equation(1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $f_s(y+x) = f_s(y)$ and so $f_s(x+y) = f_s(y+x) = f_s(y)$, for all $y \in S$, which completes the proof.

3.7 Theorem: Let S be a near-field and f_s be a soft set over U . If $f_s(0) \supseteq f_s(1) = f_s(x)$ for all $0 \neq x \in S$, then it is SI-action on M-N module over U .

Proof: Suppose that $f_s(0) \supseteq f_s(1) = f_s(x)$ for all $0 \neq x \in S$. In order to prove that it is SI-action on M-N module over U , it is enough to prove that $f_s(m(x-y)) \supseteq f_s(x) \cap f_s(y)$ and $f_s(nx) \supseteq f_s(x)$.

Let $x, y \in S$. Then we have the following cases:

Case-1: Suppose that $x \neq 0$ and $y=0$ or $x=0$ and $y \neq 0$. Since S is a near-field, so it follows that $nx=0$ and $f_s(nx) = f_s(0)$. since $f_s(0) \supseteq f_s(x)$, for all $x \in S$, so $f_s(nx) = f_s(0) \supseteq f_s(x)$, and $f_s(nx) = f_s(0) \supseteq f_s(y)$. This imply $f_s(nx) \supseteq f_s(x)$.

Case-2: Suppose that $x \neq 0$ and $y \neq 0$. It follows that $nx \neq 0$. Then, $f_s(nx) = f_s(1) = f_s(x)$ and $f_s(nx) = f_s(1) = f_s(y)$, which implies that $f_s(nx) \supseteq f_s(x)$.

Case-3: suppose that $x=0$ and $y=0$, then clearly $f_s(nx) \supseteq f_s(x)$. Hence $f_s(nx) \supseteq f_s(x)$, for all $x, y \in S$.

Now, let $x, y \in S$. Then $x-y=0$ or $x+y \neq 0$. If $x+y=0$, then either $x=y=0$ or $x \neq 0, y \neq 0$ and $x=y$.

But, since $f_s(x+y) = f_s(0) \supseteq f_s(x)$, for all $x \in N$, it follows that $f_s(m(x+y)) = f_s(m0) \supseteq f_s(x) \cap f_s(y)$.

If $x+y \neq 0$, then either $x \neq 0, y \neq 0$ and $x \neq y$ or $x \neq 0$ and $y=0$ or $x=0$ and $y \neq 0$.

Assume that $x \neq 0, y \neq 0$ and $x \neq y$. This follows that

$$f_s(m(x-y)) = f_s(1) = f_s(x) \supseteq f_s(x) \cap f_s(y).$$

Now, let $x \neq 0$ and $y=0$. Then $f_s(m(x+y)) \supseteq f_s(x) \cap f_s(y)$. Finally, let $x=0$ and $y \neq 0$.

Then, $f_s(m(x+y)) \supseteq f_s(x) \cap f_s(y)$. Hence $f_s(x-y) \supseteq f_s(x) \cap f_s(y)$, for all $x, y \in S$.

Thus, f_s is SI-action on M-N module over U .

3.8 Theorem: Let f_s and f_T be two SI-action on M-N module over U . Then $f_s \wedge f_T$ is soft SI-action on M-N module over U .

Proof: let $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in S \times T$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{S \wedge T}(m((x_1, y_1) - (x_2, y_2))) &= f_{S \wedge T}(m(x_1 - x_2, y_1 - y_2)) \\
&= f_s(x_1 - x_2) \cap f_T(y_1 - y_2) \\
&\supseteq (f_s(x_1) \cap f_s(x_2)) \cap (f_T(y_1) \cap f_T(y_2)) \\
&= (f_s(x_1) \cap f_T(y_1)) \cap (f_s(x_2) \cap f_T(y_2)) \\
&= f_{S \wedge T}(x_1, y_1) \cap f_{S \wedge T}(x_2, y_2)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{S \wedge T}((n_1, n_2), (x_2, y_2)) &= f_{S \wedge T}(n_1 x_2, n_2 y_2) \\
&= f_S(n_1 x_2) \cap f_T(n_2 y_2) \\
&\supseteq f_S(x_2) \cap f_T(y_2) \\
&= f_{S \wedge T}(x_2, y_2)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $f_S \wedge f_T$ is SI-action on M-N module over U.

Note that $f_S \vee f_T$ is not SI-action on M-N module over U.

3.9 Example: Assume $U = p_3$ is the universal set. Let $S = Z_3$ and $H = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & a \\ b & b \end{bmatrix} \mid a, b \in Z_3 \right\}$

2×2 matrices with Z_3 terms, be set of parameters. We define SI-action on M-N module f_S over $U = p_3$ by

$$f_S(0) = p_3$$

$$f_S(1) = \{(I), (I \ 2), (I \ 3 \ 2)\}$$

$$f_S(2) = \{(I), (I \ 2), (I \ 2 \ 3), (I \ 3 \ 2)\}$$

We define SI-action on M-N-module f_H over $U = p_3$ by

$$f_H \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\} = p_3$$

$$f_H \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ I & I \end{bmatrix} \right\} = \{(I), (I \ 2), (I \ 3 \ 2)\}$$

Then $f_S \vee f_T$ is not SI-action on M-N module over U.

3.10 Definition : Let f_S, g_T be SI-action on M-N module over U. Then product of fuzzy

SI-action on M-N module f_S and g_T is defined as $f_S \times g_T = h_{S \times T}$, where

$$h_{S \times T}(x, y) = f_S(x) \times g_T(y) \text{ for all } (x, y) \in S \times T.$$

3.11 Theorem : If f_S and g_T are SI-action on M-N module over U. Then so is $f_S \times g_T$ over $U \times U$.

Proof: By definition-3.2, let $f_S \times g_T = h_{S \times T}$, where $h_{S \times T}(x, y) = f_S(x) \times g_T(y)$

for all $(x, y) \in S \times T$. Then for all $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in S \times T$ and $(n_1, n_2) = N \times N$.

$$\begin{aligned}
h_{S \times T}(m(x_1, y_1) - (x_2, y_2)) &= h_{S \times T}(m(x_1, y_1) - x_2, y_1 + y_2) \\
&= f_S(m(x_1, y_1) - x_2) \times g_T(y_1 + y_2) \\
&\supseteq (f_S(x_1) \cap f_S(x_2)) \times (g_T(y_1) \cap g_T(y_2)) \\
&= (f_S(x_1) \times g_T(y_1)) \cap (f_S(x_2) \times g_T(y_2)) \\
&= h_{S \times T}(x_1, y_1) - h_{S \times T}(x_2, y_2) \\
h_{S \times T}((n_1, n_2)(x_2, y_2)) &= h_{S \times T}(n_1 x_2, n_2 y_2) \\
&= f_S(n_1 x_2) \times g_T(n_2 y_2) \\
&\supseteq f_S(x_2) \times g_T(y_2) \\
&= h_{S \times T}(x_2, y_2)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $f_S \times g_T = h_{S \times T}$ is SI-action on M-N module over U.

3.12 Theorem: If f_S and h_S are SI-action on M-N module over U, then so is $f_S \tilde{\cap} h_S$ over U.

Proof: Let $x, y \in S$ and $n \in N$ then

$$\begin{aligned}
 (f_s \tilde{\cap} h_s)(m(x+y)) &= f_s(m(x+y)) \cap h_s(m(x+y)) \\
 &\supseteq (f_s(x) \cap f_s(y)) \cap (h_s(x) \cap h_s(y)) \\
 &= (f_s(x) \cap h_s(x)) \cup (f_s(y) \cap h_s(y)) \\
 &= (f_s \tilde{\cap} h_s)(x) \cap (f_s \tilde{\cap} h_s)(y) \\
 (f_s \tilde{\cap} h_s)(nx) &= f_s(nx) \cap h_s(nx) \\
 &\supseteq f_s(x) \cap h_s(x) = (f_s \tilde{\cap} h_s)(x). \text{Therefore, } (f_s \tilde{\cap} h_s) \text{ is SI-action on N-module over U.}
 \end{aligned}$$

4.SI-action on M-N-ideal structures

4.1 Definition: Let S be an M-N module and f_s be a soft set over U. Then f_s is called SI-action on M-N-ideal of S over U if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $f_s(m(x+y)) \supseteq f_s(x) \cap f_s(y)$
- (ii) $f_s(-x) = f_s(x)$
- (iii) $f_s(x+y-x) \supseteq f_s(y)$
- (iv) $f_s(n(x+y) - nx) \supseteq f_s(y)$ for all $x, y \in S$ and $n \in N$.

Here, note that

$$f_s(x+y) \supseteq f_s(x) \cap f_s(y) \text{ and } f_s(-x) = f_s(x) \text{ imply } f_s(x-y) \supseteq f_s(x) \cap f_s(y)$$

4.2 Example: Consider the near -ring $N=\{0, x, y, z\}$ with the following tables

+	0	x	y	z
0	0	x	y	z
x	x	0	z	y
y	y	z	0	x
z	z	y	x	0

.	0	x	y	z
0	0	0	0	0
x	0	0	0	x
y	0	x	y	y
z	0	x	y	z

Let $S=N$ be the parameters and $U=D_2$, dihedral group, be the universal set. We define a fuzzy soft set f_s over U by $f_s(0) = D_2, f_s(x) = \{e, b, ba\}, f_s(y) = \{a, b\}, f_s(z) = \{b\}$. Then, one can show that f_s is SI-action on M-N-ideal of S over U.

4.3 Example: Consider the near -ring $N=\{0,1,2,3\}$ with the following tables

+	0	1	2	3
0	0	1	2	3
1	1	2	3	0
2	2	3	0	1
3	3	0	1	2

.	0	x	y	z
0	0	0	0	0
x	0	1	0	1
y	0	3	0	3
z	0	2	0	2

Let $S=N$ be the set of parameters and $U=Z^+$ be the universal set. We define a fuzzy soft set f_s over U by

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_s(0) &= \{1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 17\} \\
 f_s(1) &= f_s(3) = \{1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11\} \\
 f_s(2) &= \{1, 5, 7, 9, 11\}
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $f_s(2.(3+1) - 2.3) = f_s(2.1 - 2.3) = f_s(3-3) = f_s(0) \not\subseteq f_s(1)$

Therefore, f_s is not SI-action on M-N-ideal over U.

It is known that if N is a zero- symmetric near-ring, then every M-N-ideal of S is also M-N module of S. Here, we have an analog for this case.

4.4 Theorem : Let N be a zero- symmetric near-ring. Then, every SI-action on M-N-ideal is SI-action on M-N module over U.

Proof: Let f_s be an SI-action on M-N-ideal on S over U. Since $f_s(n(x+y)-nx) \supseteq f_s(y)$, for all $x, y \in S$, and $n \in N$, in particular for $x=0$, it follows that $f_s(n(0+y)-n.0) = f_s(ny-0) = f_s(y) \supseteq f_s(y)$. Since the other condition is satisfied by definition-4.1, f_s is SI-action on M-N-ideals of S over U.

4.5 Theorem : Let f_s be SI-action on M-N-ideal of S and f_T be SI-action on M-N-ideal of T over U. Then $f_s \wedge f_T$ is SI-action on M-N-ideal of $S \times T$ over U.

4.6 Theorem : If f_s is SI-action on M-N-ideal of S and f_T be SI-action on M-N-ideal of T over U, then $f_s \times f_T$ is SI-action on M-N-ideal over $U \times U$.

4.7 Theorem : If f_s and h_s are two SI-action on M-N modules of S over U, then $f_s \tilde{\cap} h_s$ is SI-action on M-N-ideal over U.

5. Application of SI-action on M-N-module

In this section, we give the applications of soft image, soft pre-image, lower α -inclusion of soft sets and N-module homomorphism with respect to SI-action on M-N module and M-N-ideals.

5.1 Theorem : If f_s is SI-action on M-N-ideal of S over U, then $\mathcal{S}^f = \{x \in S / f_s(x) = f_s(0)\}$ is a M-N-ideal of S.

Proof: It is obvious that $0 \in \mathcal{S}^f$ we need to show that (i) $x-y \in \mathcal{S}^f$, (ii) $s+x-s \in \mathcal{S}^f$ and (iii) $n(s+x)-ns \in \mathcal{S}^f$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{S}^f$ and $n \in N$ and $s \in S$.

If $x, y \in \mathcal{S}^f$, then $f_s(x) = f_s(y) = f_s(0)$. By proposition-3.1,

$f_s(0) \supseteq f_s(x-y)$, $f_s(0) \supseteq f_s(s+x-s)$, and $f_s(0) \supseteq f_s(n(s+x)-ns)$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{S}^f$ and $n \in N$ and $s \in S$.

Since f_s is SI-action on M-N-ideal of S over U, then for all $x, y \in \mathcal{S}^f$ and $n \in N$ and $s \in S$.

$$(i) f_s(m(x-y)) \supseteq f_s(x) \cap f_s(y) = f_s(0).$$

$$(ii) f_s(s+x-s) \supseteq f_s(x) = f_s(0).$$

$$(iii) f_s(n(s+x)-ns) \supseteq f_s(x) = f_s(0).$$

Hence $f_s(x-y) = f_s(0)$, $f_s(s+x-s) = f_s(0)$ and $f_s(n(s+x)-ns) = f_s(0)$, for all $x, y \in \mathcal{S}^f$ and $n \in N$ and $s \in S$.

Therefore \mathcal{S}^f is M-N-ideal of S.

5.2 Theorem : Let f_s be soft set over U and α be a subset of U such that $\emptyset \supseteq \alpha \supseteq f_s(0)$. If f_s is SI-action on M-N-ideal over U, then $f_s \supseteq \alpha$ is an N-ideal of S.

Proof: Since $f_s(0) \supseteq \alpha$, then $0 \in f_s \supseteq \alpha$ and $\emptyset \neq f_s \supseteq \alpha \supseteq S$. Let $x, y \in f_s \supseteq \alpha$, then $f_s(x) \supseteq \alpha$ and $f_s(y) \supseteq \alpha$. We need to show that

- (i) $x-y \in f_s \supseteq \alpha$
- (ii) $s + x - s \in f_s \supseteq \alpha$
- (iii) $n(s+x) - ns \in f_s \supseteq \alpha$ for all $x, y \in f_s \supseteq \alpha$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s \in S$.

Since f_s is SI-action on M-N-ideal over U, it follows that

- (i) $f_s(m(x-y)) \supseteq f_s(x) \cap f_s(y) \supseteq \alpha \cap \alpha = \alpha$,
- (ii) $f_s(s+x-s) \supseteq f_s(x) \supseteq \alpha$ and
- (iii) $f_s(n(s+x)-ns) \supseteq f_s(x) \supseteq \alpha$. Thus, the proof is completed.

5.3 Theorem : Let f_s and f_T be soft sets over U and χ be an M-N-isomorphism from S to T. If f_s is SI-action on M-N-ideal of S over U, then $\chi(f_s)$ is SI-action on M-N-ideal of T over U.

Proof: Let δ_1, δ_2 and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since χ is surjective, there exists $s_1, s_2 \in S$ such that $\chi(s_1) = \delta_1$ and $\chi(s_2) = \delta_2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\chi f_s)(m(\delta_1 - \delta_2)) &= \cup \{ f_s(s) / s \in S, \chi(s) = \delta_1 - \delta_2 \} \\ &= \cup \{ f_s(s) / s \in S, s = \chi^{-1}(\delta_1 - \delta_2) \} \\ &= \cup \{ f_s(s) / s \in S, s = \chi^{-1}(\chi(s_1 - s_2)) = s_1 - s_2 \} \\ &= \cup \{ f_s(s_1 - s_2) / s_i \in S, \chi(s_i) = \delta_i, i = 1, 2, \dots \} \\ &\supseteq \cup \{ f_s(s_1) \cap f_s(s_2) / s_i \in S, \chi(s_i) = \delta_i, i = 1, 2, \dots \} \\ &= (\cup \{ f_s(s_1) / s_1 \in S, \chi(s_1) = \delta_1 \}) \cap (\cup \{ f_s(s_2) / s_2 \in S, \chi(s_2) = \delta_2 \}) \\ &= (\chi f_s)(\delta_1) \cap (\chi f_s)(\delta_2) \end{aligned}$$

Also $(\chi f_s)(\delta_1 + \delta_2 - \delta_1) = \cup \{ f_s(s) / s \in S, \chi(s) = \delta_1 + \delta_2 - \delta_1 \}$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \cup \{ f_s(s) / s \in S, s = \chi^{-1}(\delta_1 + \delta_2 - \delta_1) \} \\ &= \cup \{ f_s(s) / s \in S, s = \chi^{-1}(\chi(s_1 + s_2 - s_1)) = s_1 + s_2 - s_1 \} \\ &= \cup \{ f_s(s_1 + s_2 - s_1) / s_i \in S, \chi(s_i) = \delta_i, i = 1, 2, \dots \} \\ &\supseteq \cup \{ f_s(s_2) / s_2 \in S, \chi(s_2) = \delta_2 \} \\ &= (\chi f_s)(\delta_2) \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, $(\chi f_s)(n(\delta_1 + \delta_2) - n\delta_1) = \cup \{ f_s(s) / s \in S, \chi(s) = n(\delta_1 + \delta_2) - n\delta_1 \}$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \cup \{ f_s(s) / s \in S, s = \chi^{-1}(n(\delta_1 + \delta_2) - n\delta_1) \} \\ &= \cup \{ f_s(s) / s \in S, s = n(s_1 + s_2) - ns_1 \} \\ &= \cup \{ f_s(n(s_1 + s_2) - ns_1) / s_i \in S, \chi(s_i) = \delta_i, i = 1, 2, \dots \} \\ &\supseteq \cup \{ f_s(s_2) / s_2 \in S, \chi(s_2) = \delta_2 \} \\ &= (\chi f_s)(\delta_2). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\chi(f_s)$ is SI-action on M-N-ideal of T over U.

5.4 Theorem : Let f_s and f_T be soft sets over U and χ be an M-N-isomorphism from S to T.

If f_T is SI-action on M-N-ideal of T over U, then $\chi^{-1}(f_T)$ is SI-action on M-N-ideal of S over U.

Proof: Let $s_1, s_2 \in S$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\chi^{-1}(f_T))(m(s_1 - s_2)) &= f_T(\chi(s_1 - s_2)) \\ &= f_T(\chi(s_1) - \chi(s_2)) \\ &\supseteq f_T(\chi(s_1)) \cap f_T(\chi(s_2)) \end{aligned}$$

$$= (\mathcal{X}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_T))(s_1) \cup (\mathcal{X}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_T))(s_2).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Also } (\mathcal{X}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_T))(s_1+s_2 - s_1) &= f_T(\mathcal{X}(s_1+s_2 - s_1)) \\ &= f_T(\mathcal{X}(s_1)+\mathcal{X}(s_2) - \mathcal{X}(s_1)) \\ &\cong f_T(\mathcal{X}(s_2)) = (\mathcal{X}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_T))(s_2) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Furthermore, } (\mathcal{X}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_T))(n(s_1+s_2) - ns_1) &= f_T(\mathcal{X}(n(s_1+s_2) - ns_1)) \\ &= f_T(n(\mathcal{X}(s_1)+\mathcal{X}(s_2)) - n\mathcal{X}(s_1)) \\ &\cong f_T(\mathcal{X}(s_2)) = (\mathcal{X}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_T))(s_2) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $(\mathcal{X}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_T))$ is SI-action on M-N-ideal of S over U.

Conclusion: we have defined a new type of M-N-module action on a soft set, called SI-action on M-N-module by using the soft sets. This new concept picks up the soft set theory and M-N-module theory together and therefore, it is very functional for obtaining results in the mean of M-N-module structure. Based on this definition, we have introduced the concept of SI-action on M-N-ideal. We have investigated these notions with respect to soft image, soft pre-image and upper α -inclusion of soft sets. Finally, we give some application of SI-action on M-N-ideal to M-N-module theory.

Future Work: To extend this study, one can further study the other algebraic structures such as different algebra's like KU-ideals and R- ideals in view of their SI-actions.

References

- [1] Acar U., Koyuncu F., Tanay B., Soft sets and soft rings, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 59(2010), 3458-3463.
- [2] Aktas. H., C. agman N., Soft sets and soft groups, *Inform. Sci.*, 177(2007), 2726-2735.
- [3] Ali M.I.,Feng F., Liu X., Min W.K., Shabir M., On some new operations in soft set theory, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 57(2009), 1547-1553.
- [4] Atagun A.O., Sezgin A., Soft substructures of rings, fields and modules, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 61(3)(2011), 592-601.
- [5] Babitha K.V., Sunil J.J., Soft set relations and functions, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 60(7)(2010), 1840-1849.
- [6] Cagman N., Enginoglu S.,Soft matrix theory and its decision making, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 59(2010), 3308-3314.
- [7] Cagman N, Engino ~ glu S., Soft set theory and uni-int decision making, *Eur. J. Oper. Res.*, 207(2010), 848-855.
- [8] Cagman N., C, itak F., Aktas, H., Soft int-groups and its applications to group theory, *Neural Comput. Appl.*, DOI: 10.1007/s00521-011-0752-x.
- [9] Cagman N., Sezgin A., Atagun A.O.,Soft uni-groups and its applications to group theory, (submitted).
- [10] Cagman N., Sezgin A., Atagun A.O., α -inclusions and their applications to group theory, (submitted).
- [11] Feng F., Jun Y.B., Zhao X., Soft semirings, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 56(2008), 2621–2628. [12] Feng F., Liu X.Y., Leoreanu-Fotea V., Jun Y.B., Soft sets and soft rough sets, *Inform. Sci.*, 181(6)(2011), 1125-1137.
- [13] Feng F., Li C., Davvaz B., Ali M.I., Soft sets combined with fuzzy sets and rough sets: a tentative approach, *Soft Comput.*, 14(6)(2010), 899-911.
- [14] Jun Y.B., Soft BCK/BCI-algebras, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 56(2008), 1408 -1413.
- [15] Jun Y.B., Park C.H., Applications of soft sets in ideal theory of BCK/ BCI-algebras, *Inform. Sci.*, 178(2008), 2466-2475.

- [16] Jun Y.B., Lee K.J., Zhan J., Soft p-ideals of soft BCI-algebras, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 58(2009), 2060-2068.
- [17] Jun Y.B., Lee K.J., Park C.H., Soft set theory applied to ideals in d-algebras, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 57(3)(2009), 367-378.
- [18] Kazancı O., Yılmaz S., Yamak S., Soft sets and soft BCH-algebras, *Hacet. J. Math. Stat.*, 39(2)(2010), 205-217.
- [19] Maji P.K., Biswas R., Roy A.R., Soft set theory, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 45(2003), 555-562. [20] Maji P.K., Roy A.R., Biswas R., An application of soft sets in a decision making problem, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 44(2002), 1077-1083.
- [21] Majumdar P., Samanta S.K., On soft mappings, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 60 (9)(2010), 2666-2672.
- [22] Molodtsov D., Soft set theory-first results, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 37(1999), 19-31.
- [23] Molodtsov D.A., Leonov V.Yu., Kovkov D.V., Soft sets technique and its application, *Nechetkie Sistemy i Myagkie Vychisleniya*, 1(1)(2006), 8-39.
- [24] Pilz G., *Near-rings*, North Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam-New York-Oxford, 1983.
- [25] Sezgin A., Atagun A.O., Aygun E., A note on soft near-rings and idealistic soft near-rings, *Filomat.*, 25(1)(2011), 53-68.
- [26] Sezgin A., Atagun A.O., On operations of soft sets, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 61(5)(2011), 1457-1467.
- [27] Wendt G., On Zero Divisors in Near-Rings, *Int. J. Algebra*, 3(1)(2009), 21-32.
- [28] Zhan J., Jun Y.B., Soft BL-algebras based on fuzzy sets, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 59(6)(2010), 2037-2046.
- [29] Zou Y., Xiao Z., Data analysis approaches of soft sets under incomplete information, *Knowl-Based Syst.*, 21(2008), 941-945.



Dr. R.NAGARAJAN is Associate Professor and Head of Mathematics at J.J.College of Engineering and Technology, Trichy. He received the Ph.D degree from Bharathidasan University, Trichy. His main research interests are Group theory, Neutrosophic soft group, Near-ring, soft set and its applications and fuzzy decision making. At present he has worked as one of “**Editors-in-Chief**” in *International Journal of Engineering, Science and Mathematics (IJESM)*- New Delhi also “**Associate Editor**” in *International Journal of Applied Research (IJAP)* and *International Journal of Applied and Advanced Scientific Research(IJAASR)* and Review board member in *Maejo international journal of Science and Technology (MIJST)*, Thailand,(ISSN 1905-7873)**SCI & Scopus** indexed and as in 10 various international Journals.